

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,487	09/29/2005	Karsten Eichhorn	68897-011	3671
29493 7590 HUSCH BLACKWELL SANDERS LLP 190 CARONDELET PLAZA SUITE 600 ST. LOUIS, MO 63105-3441			EXAMINER	
			SHALLENBERGER, JULIE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2885	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/551.487 EICHHORN ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2885 JULIE A. SHALLENBERGER All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) JULIE A. SHALLENBERGER. (3)BOB HALDIMAN (45,437). (2) ANABEL TON. (4)____. Date of Interview: 12 November 2008. c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: 6.375.340 & 6.601.983. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Upon discussion of the applicant's invention and the prior art cited, the applicant as decided to amend the claims to further distinguish controlling the beam pattern and luminous gradient by controlling the spaced relationship of the LED(s) and the edge of the recess. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Anabel M Ton/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2875
U.S. Patent and Timbersank Office